

City of Weston, West Virginia Policemen's Pension and Relief Fund

GASB68 Actuarial Information for the Measurement Period Ending 06/30/2019

Bolton

Submitted by:

James Ritchie, ASA, EA, FCA, MAA Senior Consulting Actuary 443.573.3924 jritchie@boltonusa.com

Jordan McClane, FSA, EA Actuary 667.218.6935 jmcclane@boltonusa.come



October 29, 2019

Mr. Chad Minnick City Manager City of Weston 102 W. 2nd Street Weston, WV 26452 Chief Josh Thomas Pension Board Secretary City of Weston, West Virginia Policemen's Pension and Relief Fund

Re: City of Weston, West Virginia Policemen's Pension and Relief Fund - GASB68 Actuarial Information for the Measurement Period Ending June 30, 2019

Dear Chad,

The following report contains the GASB 67 and GASB 68 actuarial information for the City of Weston Policemen's Pension and Relief Fund to be included in the City's financial statements for FY2019. The GASB67 information has been provided as of the June 30, 2019 (the GASB 68 measurement date for FY2019).

Methodology, Reliance and Certification

This report is prepared for the City. The report contains the actuarial information to be included with the City's financial statements for the year ending June 30, 2019 (the City's fiscal year end date) as required by GASB68. This information has been prepared for use in the financial statements of the City. This information is not intended for, nor should it be used for, any additional purposes.

The total pension liability is based on the July 1, 2018 actuarial valuation rolled forward to June 30, 2019. The methods, assumptions, and participant data used are detailed in the July 1, 2018 actuarial valuation report with the exception of the actuarial cost method. These calculations are based on the Entry Age Normal cost method as required by GASB67. The calculation of the Actuarially Determined Contribution for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2019 is contained in the July 1, 2018 actuarial valuation report.

The included calculations assume that the members and the City will continue to make all required contributions in accordance with the City's funding policy.

The long-term nominal expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a methodology approved by the Municipal Pensions Oversight Board (MPOB.) The long-term nominal expected rate of return is based on the fund's current funding ratio, liquidity ratio, equity exposure and expected funded status in 15 years.

These calculations and comparisons with assets are applicable for the valuation date only. The future is uncertain, and the plan may become better funded or more poorly funded in the future. This valuation does not provide any guarantee that the plan will be able to provide the promised benefits in the future.

Mr. Chad Minnick October 29, 2019 Page 2

Methodology, Reliance and Certification (cont.)

This is a deterministic valuation in that it is based on a single set of assumptions. This set of assumptions is one possible basis for our calculations. Other assumptions may be equally valid. The future is uncertain and the plan's actual experience will differ from those assumptions; these differences may be significant or material because these results are very sensitive to the assumptions made and, in some cases, to the interaction between the assumptions. We may consider that some factors are not material to the valuation of the plan and may not provide a specific assumption for those factors. We may have used other assumptions in the past. We will likely consider changes in assumptions at a future date.

The City is responsible for selecting the plan's funding policy based on four methods allowed for under state law. The actuarial valuation methods are chosen by the actuary in accordance with actuarial standards of practice promulgated by the actuarial standards board of the American Academy of Actuaries and as required by GASB 67 & 68. The MPOB selects the asset valuation methods and assumptions; these slections are reviewed by a qualified actuary no less than every five years. The actuary shall provide a report to the oversight board with recommendations on any changes to the actuarial process. The policies, methods and assumptions used in this valuation are those that have been so prescribed and are described in this report. The City and MPOB are solely responsible for communicating to Bolton Partners, Inc. any changes required thereto.

The City could reasonably ask how the valuation would change if we used a different assumption set or if plan experience exhibited variations from our assumptions. This report does not contain such an analysis. This type of analysis would be a separate assignment.

The cost of this plan is determined by the benefits promised by the plan, the plan's participant population, the investment experience of the plan and many other factors. An actuarial valuation is a budgeting tool for the City or in this case a measure of accounting expense. It does not affect the cost of the plan. As the experience of the plan evolves, it is normal for the level of contributions and expense of the plan to change.

We make every effort to ensure that our calculations are accurately performed. These calculations are complex. Despite our best efforts, we may make a mistake. We reserve the right to correct any potential errors by amending the results of this report or by including the corrections in a future valuation report.

Because modeling all aspects of a situation is not possible or practical, we may use summary information, estimates, or simplifications of calculations to facilitate the modeling of future events in an efficient and cost-effective manner. We may also exclude factors or data that are immaterial in our judgment. Use of such simplifying techniques does not, in our judgment, affect the reasonableness of valuation results for the plan.

This report is based on plan provisions, census data, and asset data submitted by the City. We have relied on this information for purposes of preparing this report, but have not performed an audit. The accuracy of the results presented in this report is dependent upon the accuracy and completeness of the underlying information. The plan sponsor is solely responsible for the validity and completeness of this information.



Mr. Chad Minnick October 29, 2019 Page 3

Methodology, Reliance and Certification (cont.)

The City is solely responsible for selecting the plan's investment policies, asset allocations and individual investments. Bolton Partners, Inc.'s actuaries have not provided any investment advice to the City.

The information in this report was prepared for the internal use of the City, the plan and their auditors in connection with our actuarial valuations of the pension plan as required by GASB68. This report may not be used for any other purpose; Bolton Partners, Inc. is not responsible for the consequences of any unauthorized use or the reliance on this information by any other party.

The calculation of actuarial liabilities for valuation purposes is based on a current estimate of future benefit payments. The calculation includes a computation of the "present value" of those estimated future benefit payments using an assumed discount rate; the higher the discount rate assumption, the lower the estimated liability will be. For purposes of estimating the liabilities (future and accrued) in this report, an assumption based on the expected long-term rate of return on plan investments is used. If the plan is expected to become insolvent, the return assumption is blended with a long-term municipal bond rate. Using a lower discount rate assumption, such as a rate solely based on long-term bond yields, could substantially increase the estimated present value of future and accrued liabilities.

This report provides certain financial calculations for use by the auditor. These values have been computed in accordance with our understanding of generally accepted actuarial principles and practices and fairly reflect the actuarial position of the Plan. The various actuarial assumptions and methods which have been used are, in our opinion, appropriate for the purposes of this report.

The report is conditioned on the assumption of an ongoing plan and is not meant to present the actuarial position of the Plan in the case of Plan termination. Future actuarial measurements may differ significantly from the current measurements presented in this report due to such factors as the following: plan experience differing from that anticipated by the economic or demographic assumptions, changes in economic or demographic assumptions, increases or decreases expected as part of the natural operation of the methodology used for these measurements (such as the end of an amortization period or additional cost or contribution requirements based on the plan's funded status), and changes in plan provisions or applicable law.

The undersigned enrolled actuaries meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the actuarial opinion contained herein. The July 1, 2018 actuarial valuation report contains information that is integral to the results contained herein and a copy may be provided upon request.

Sincerely,

Patilie

James Ritchie, ASA, EA, FCA, MAAA

ful Mide

Jordan McClane, FSA, EA, MAAA





Net Pension Liability of the Employer

The components of the net pension liability of the Employer at June 30, 2019, were as follows:

Total pension liability	\$ 1,513,666
Plan fiduciary net position	(1,572,660)
Employer's net pension liability	\$ (58,994)
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability	103.90%

Actuarial assumptions. The total pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of July 1, 2018 rolled forward to June 30, 2019 using the following actuarial assumptions, applied to all periods included in the measurement:

Inflation Salary increases Single discount rate (BOY) Single discount rate (EOY)	2.75 percent Rates vary by years of service 6.0000% 6.5000%
Investment rate of return	6.50 percent, net of pension plan investment expense, including inflation
Long-term municpal bond rate (BOY) Long-term municpal bond rate (EOY) Mortality Year Fund is projected to be fully funded Year assets are expected to be depleted for a closed plan	3.62% 3.13% RP-2014 Blue Collar Mortality Table with generational projection using Scale MP-2014 2018 N/A

The above is a summary of key actuarial assumptions. Full descriptions of the actuarial assumptions are available in the July 1, 2018 actuarial valuation report.

Sensitivity of the net pension liability to changes in the discount rate

	Decrease 5.50%	Disc	Current count Rate 6.50%	1%	6 Increase 7.50%
Employer's net pension liability	\$ 193,005	\$	(58,994)	\$	(259,323)



Changes in the Net Pension Liability

			ase (Decrease		
	То	tal Pension Liability (a)	n Fiduciary et Position (b)	l	et Pension Liability (a) - (b)
Balances at 6/30/18	\$	1,543,655	\$ 1,461,295	\$	82,360
Changes for the year:					
Service cost		37,352			37,352
Interest		90,513			90,513
Changes of benefit terms		-			-
Differences between expected and actual experience		33,709			33,709
Changes of assumptions		(121,347)			(121,347)
Contributions - employer (including Premium Tax Allocation)			34,955		(34,955)
Contributions - member			12,173		(12,173)
Net investment income			134,453		(134,453)
Benefit payments, including refunds of member contributions		(70,216)	(70,216)		-
Administrative expense			-		-
Other			 -		-
Net Changes		(29,989)	 111,365		(141,354)
Balances at 6/30/19	\$	1,513,666	\$ 1,572,660	\$	(58,994)
Return on Investments			9.3%		



Components of Employer's Pension Expense for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019

Note	Description	Amount
А	Service Cost	\$ 37,352
В	Interest on the total pension liability	90,513
А	Changes of benefit terms	-
С	Differences between expected and actual experience	(68,084)
С	Changes of assumptions	(33,870)
А	Employee contributions	(12,173)
D	Projected earnings on pension plan investments	(94,234)
С	Differences between expected and actual earnings on	(9,868)
	plan investments	
А	Pension plan administrative expense	-
А	Other changes in fiduciary net position	-
	Total Pension Expense	\$ (90,364)

Notes:

- A Provided in the Changes in Net Pension Liability exhibit.
- B Based on the following calculation:

		mount for Period (a)	Portion of Period (b)	Projected Rate of Return (c)	E	rojected arnings x (b) x (c)
Beginning total pension liability	\$	1,543,655	100%	6.00%	\$	92,619
Service Cost (End of Year)		37,352	0%	6.00%		-
Benefit payments, including refunds of employee contributions		(70,216)	50%	6.00%		(2,106)
Total interest on the total pension liability					\$	90,513

C Provided in the Schedules of Deferrals.

D Based on the following calculation:

	A	mount for Period (a)	Portion of Period (b)	Projected Rate of Return (c)	E	ojected arnings x (b) x (c)
Beginning plan fiduciary net position	\$	1,461,295	100%	6.50%	\$	94,984
Employer contributions		34,955	50%	6.50%		1,136
Employee contributions		12,173	50%	6.50%		396
Benefit payments, including refunds of employee contributions		(70,216)	50%	6.50%		(2,282)
Administrative expense and other		-	50%	6.50%		-
Total Projected Earnings					\$	94,234



Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

At June 30, 2019, the Employer reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

	ed Outflows lesources	erred Inflows Resources
Differences between expected and actual experience	\$ 82,001	\$ 262,940
Changes of assumptions	76,979	224,442
Net difference between projected and actual earnings	-	
on pension plan investments		66,672
Total	\$ 158,980	\$ 554,054

Amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

Year ended June 30:	
2020	\$ (118,004)
2021	(125,178)
2022	(91,350)
2023	(45,939)
2024	(14,603)
Thereafter	-

Changes in the Employer's Net Pension Liability and Related Ratios Last 10 Fiscal Years

Total pension liability	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012		2011		2010
Service cost	\$ 37,352	\$ 44,347	\$ 88,004	\$ 76,847	\$ 102,375	\$ 64,377	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -
Interest	90,513	87,737	89,760	76,842	90,025	92,314	-		-		-	-
Changes of benefit terms	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-		-	-
Differences between expected and actual experience	33,709	(102,812)	97,911	(352,252)	(146,432)	-	-		-			-
Changes of assumptions	(121,347)	(124,786)	-	180,955	(132,778)	-	-		-		-	-
Benefit payments, including refunds of member contributions	(70,216)	(73,367)	(106,446)	(67,226)	(34,223)	(43,152)	-		-		-	-
Net change in total pension liability	 (29,989)	(168,881)	169,229	(84,834)	(121,033)	113,539	-		-		-	-
Total pension liability - beginning	1,543,655	1,712,536	1,543,307	1,628,141	1,749,174	1,635,635	-		-		-	
Total pension liability - ending (a)	\$ 1,513,666	\$ 1,543,655	\$ 1,712,536	\$ 1,543,307	\$ 1,628,141	\$ 1,749,174	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -

Plan fiduciary net position	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Contributions - employer (including Premium Tax Allocation)	\$ 34,955	\$ 238,793	\$ 274,862	\$ 273,008	\$ 79,470	\$ 90,054	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Contributions - member	12,173	10,715	19,583	29,258	22,772	20,646	-	-	-	-
Net investment income	134,453	123,975	78,201	22,766	11,551	51,848	-	-	-	-
Benefit payments, including refunds of member contributions	(70,216)	(73,367)	(106,446)	(67,226)	(34,223)	(43,152)	-	-	-	-
Administrative expense	-	(11,238)	(10,758)	(8,640)	(7,831)	(6,643)	-	-	-	-
Other	 -	 -	 -	 -	 1,725	 -	 -	 -	 -	 -
Net change in plan fiduciary net position	\$ 111,365	\$ 288,878	\$ 255,442	\$ 249,166	\$ 73,464	\$ 112,753	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Plan fiduciary net position - beginning	1,461,295	1,172,416	1,046,625	932,555	742,083	629,330	-	-	-	-
Plan fiduciary net position - ending (b)	\$ 1,572,660	\$ 1,461,295	\$ 1,302,067	\$ 1,181,721	\$ 815,547	\$ 742,083	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Employer's net pension liability - ending (a)-(b)	\$ (58,994)	\$ 82,360	\$ 410,469	\$ 361,586	\$ 812,594	\$ 1,007,091	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability	103.90%	94.66%	76.03%	76.57%	50.09%	42.42%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Covered payroll	\$ 133,184	\$ 123,429	\$ 195,405	\$ 220,066	\$ 257,620	\$ 192,170	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Employer's net pension liability as a percentage of covered payroll	-44.30%	66.73%	210.06%	164.31%	315.42%	524.06%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Expected average remaining service years of all participants	6.00	5.85	6.68	6.96	7.25		-	-	-	-

Notes to Schedule:

Benefit changes: There were no changes for FY2019.

Changes of assumptions: The discount rate changed from 6.0000% to 6.5000%.

Schedule of Employer Contributions Last 10 Fiscal Years

	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Actuarially determined contribution	\$ 91,351	\$ 116,443	\$ 139,651	\$ 140,891	\$ 128,053	\$ 134,409	\$ 89,805	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Contributions in relation to the actuarially determined contribution										
Employer provided	26,056	124,048	95,354	82,614	38,000	51,000	57,200	-	-	-
State provided	8,899	57,093	49,587	55,183	41,470	39,054	90,250	-	-	-
Contribution deficiency (excess)	\$ 56,396	\$ (64,698)	\$ (5,290)	\$ 3,094	\$ 48,583	\$ 44,355	\$ (57,645)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Covered payroll	\$ 133,184	\$ 123,429	\$ 195,405	\$ 223,066	\$ 257,620	\$ 192,170	\$ 173,473	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Contributions as a percentage of covered employee payroll	26.25%	147.00%	74.00%	63.00%	31.00%	47.00%	85.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

Notes to Schedule

Valuation date:

Actuarially determined contribution amounts are calculated as of the beginning of the fiscal year (July 1) for the year immediately following the fiscal year. Actuarial valuations are performed every year.

Methods and assumptions used to determine co	ntribution rates:
Actuarial cost method	Entry Age Normal
Amortization method	Level Dollar
Remaining amortization period	14 years
Asset valuation method	Market Value
Inflation	2.75 percent
Salary increases	Rates vary by years of service
Investment rate of return	6.50 percent, net of pension plan investment expense, including inflation
Retirement age	Rates vary by age
Mortality	RP-2014 Blue Collar Mortality Table with generational projection using Scale MP-2014

Schedule of Differences between Projected and Actual Earnings on Pension Plan Investments

In conformity with paragraph 33b of Statement 68, the effects of differences between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments are recognized in pension expense using a systematic and rational method over a closed five-year period, beginning in the current reporting period. The following table illustrates the application of this requirement.

Year	Differences between Projected and Actual Earnings on Pension Plan Investments		Recognition Period (Years)	2015	2016		2017		2018		2019	2020		2021	2022		2023
2015	\$	30,919	5	\$ 6,184	6,184		6,184		6,184		6,183						
2016		35,871	5		\$ 7,174		7,174		7,174		7,174	7,175					
2017		(19,349)	5			\$	(3,870)		(3,870)		(3,870)	(3,870)	(3,869)			
2018		(56,555)	5					\$	(11,311)		(11,311)	(11,311)	(11,311)	(1	1,311)	
2019		(40,219)	5							\$	(8,044)	(8,044)	(8,044)		8,044)	(8,0-
let increa	se (deci	rease) in pension	expense							\$	(9,868)	\$ (16,050	\$	(23,224)	\$ (1	9,355)	\$ (8,04

Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Arising from Differences between Projected and Actual Earnings on Pension Plan Investments

					Balar June 3		
Year	tment Earnings than Projected (a)	Investment Earnings Greater Than Projected (b)	Amounts Recognized in Pension Expense Through June 30, 2019 (c)	O R	Deferred outflows of Resources (a) - (c)	[Deferred Inflows of Resources (b) - (c)
2015	\$ 30,919	\$ -	\$ 30,919	\$	-	\$	-
2016	35,871	-	28,696		7,175		-
2017	-	19,349	11,610		-		7,739
2018	-	56,555	22,622		-		33,933
2019	-	40,219	8,044		-		32,175
				\$	7,175	\$	73,847



Schedule of Differences between Expected and Actual Experience

In conformity with paragraph 33a of Statement 68, the effects of differences between expected and actual experience are recognized in pension expense, beginning in the current reporting period, using a systematic and rational method over a closed period equal to the average of the remaining service lives of all employees that are provided with pensions through the pension plan (active and inactive employees), determined as of the beginning of the measurement period. The following table illustrates the application of this requirement.

Year	Differences between Expected and Actual Experience	Recognition Period (Years)	Prior	2014	Increas 2015	e (Decrease) in 2016	Pension Expens 2017	e Arising from ti 2018	he Recognitior 2019	of Differences be 2020	etween Expected 2021	l and Actual Exp 2022	erience 2023	2024	Thereafter
Prior	\$-		\$-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2015	(146,432)	7.246863			\$ (20,206)	(20,206)	(20,206)	(20,206)	(20,206) (20,206)	(20,206)	(4,990)			
2016	(352,252)	6.961501				\$ (50,600)	(50,600)	(50,600)	(50,600) (50,600)	(50,600)	(48,652)			
2017	97,911	6.675627					\$ 14,667	14,667	14,667	14,667	14,667	14,667	9,909		
2018	(102,812)	5.853906						\$ (17,563)	(17,563) (17,563)	(17,563)	(17,563)	(14,997)		
2019	33,709	6							\$ 5,618	5,618	5,618	5,618	5,618	\$ 5,619	-
Net increa	ise (decrease) in pe	nsion expense							\$ (68,084) \$ (68,084)	\$ (68,084)	\$ (50,920)	\$ 530	\$ 5,619	\$-

Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Arising from Differences between Expected and Actual Experience

								Balan June 3		
Year	Experience Losses (a)		e Experience Gains (b)			nounts Recognized in Ision Expense Through June 30, 2019 (c)	Ou Re	Deferred atflows of esources (a) - (c)	Deferred Inflows of Resources (b) - (c)	
Prior	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
2015		-		146,432		101,030		-		45,402
2016		-		352,252		202,400		-		149,852
2017		97,911		-		44,001		53,910		-
2018		-		102,812		35,126		-		67,686
2019		33,709		-		5,618		28,091		-
							\$	82,001	\$	262,940

Schedule of Changes of Assumptions

In conformity with paragraph 33a of Statement 68, the effects of changes of assumptions should be recognized in pension expense, beginning in the current reporting period, using a systematic and rational method over a closed period equal to the average of the remaining service lives of all employees that are provided with pensions through the pension plan (active and inactive employees), determined as of the beginning of the measurement period. The following table illustrates the application of this requirement.

		Recognition	Increase (Decrease) in Pension Expense Arising from the Effects of Changes of Assumptions												
Year	Changes of Assumptions	Period (Years)	Prior	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Thereafter
Prior	\$-		\$-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	-		
2015	(132,778)	7.246863			\$ (18,322)	(18,322)	(18,322)	(18,322)	(18,322)	(18,322	2) (18,322)	(4,524)			
2016	180,955	6.961501				\$ 25,994	25,994	25,994	25,994	25,994	25,994	24,991			
2017	-	6.675627					\$-	-	-			-	-		
2018	(124,786)	5.853906						\$ (21,317)	(21,317)	(21,317	(21,317)	(21,317)	(18,201)		
2019	(121,347)	6							\$ (20,225)	(20,225	6) (20,225)	(20,225)	(20,225)	\$ (20,222	.) -
Net increa	se (decrease) in pe	nsion expense							\$ (33,870)	\$ (33,870) \$ (33,870)	\$ (21,075)	\$ (38,426)	\$ (20,222	.) \$ -

Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Arising from Changes of Assumptions

					Balances at June 30, 2019						
Year	Tot	eases in the al Pension Liability (a)	Decreases in the Total Pension Liability (b)		mounts Recognized in nsion Expense Through June 30, 2019 (c)	Deferred Outflows of Resources (a) - (c)		Outflows of Resources		Deferred Inflows of Resources (b) - (c)	
Prior	\$		\$ -	\$	-	\$		\$	-		
2015		-	132,778		91,610		-		41,168		
2016		180,955	-		103,976		76,979		-		
2017		-	-		-		-		-		
2018		-	124,786		42,634		-		82,152		
2019		-	121,347		20,225		-		101,122		
						\$	76,979	\$	224,442		